

TRANSMITTAL AND NOTICE OF APPROVAL OF STATE PLAN MATERIAL FOR: HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTRATION	1. TRANSMITTAL NUMBER: 01 - 004	2. STATE: Alaska
	3. PROGRAM IDENTIFICATION: TITLE XIX OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT (MEDICAID)	
TO: REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	4. PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2001	

FILE COPY

5. TYPE OF PLAN MATERIAL (Check One):

☐ NEW STATE PLAN ☐ AMENDMENT TO BE CONSIDERED AS NEW PLAN ☒ AMENDMENT

COMPLETE BLOCKS 6 THRU 10 IF THIS IS AN AMENDMENT (Separate Transmittal for each amendment)


6. FEDERAL STATUTE/REGULATION CITATION: 42 CFR 447.250 - 447.252 and 42 CFR 447.256 - 447.272	7. FEDERAL BUDGET IMPACT: a. FFY <u>2001</u> \$ <u>-101,000</u> 0 (P+I) b. FFY <u>2001</u> <u>2002</u> \$ <u>0</u> (P+I)
8. PAGE NUMBER OF THE PLAN SECTION OR ATTACHMENT: Attachment 4.19-D Pages 1-14 (P+I)	9. PAGE NUMBER OF THE SUPERSEDED PLAN SECTION OR ATTACHMENT (If Applicable): Attachment 4.19-D Pages 1-16 (P+I)

10. SUBJECT OF AMENDMENT:
New rate setting methodology.


11. GOVERNOR'S REVIEW (Check One):

☐ GOVERNOR'S OFFICE REPORTED NO COMMENT
☐ COMMENTS OF GOVERNOR'S OFFICE ENCLOSED
☐ NO REPLY RECEIVED WITHIN 45 DAYS OF SUBMITTAL


☒ OTHER, AS SPECIFIED:
Does not wish to comment

12. SIGNATURE OF STATE AGENCY OFFICIAL: 	16. RETURN TO: Division of Medical Assistance P.O. Box 110660 Juneau, Alaska 99811-0660
13. TYPED NAME: Bob Labbe	
14. TITLE: Director, Division of Medical Assistance	
15. DATE SUBMITTED: September 29, 2000 March 30, 2001 (P+I)	

FOR REGIONAL OFFICE USE ONLY

17. DATE RECEIVED: APR 12	18. DATE APPROVED: JUL 2 2001
PLAN APPROVED - ONE COPY ATTACHED	
19. EFFECTIVE DATE OF APPROVED MATERIAL: JAN 1 2001	20. SIGNATURE OF REGIONAL OFFICIAL: 
21. TYPED NAME: TERESA L. TRIMBLE	22. TITLE: ASSOCIATE REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR DIVISION OF MEDICAID

23. REMARKS:
P+I changes are authorized
by the state on 3/30
6/29/01.


TERESA L. TRIMBLE
DIRECTOR

STATE PLAN FOR MEDICAID PROVIDER REIMBURSEMENT

Long Term Care including Intermediate Care Facilities for the Mentally Retarded

Long-term care services and intermediate care services for the mentally retarded are paid for Medicaid recipients by means of rates determined in accordance with the following principles, methods and standards which comply 1902(a)(13)(A), and 1902(a)(30) of the Social Security Act and Federal regulations at 42 CFR 447.250 through .252, .256, .257, .272, and .280.

I Introduction:

Rate setting principles and methods are contained in Alaska Statutes 47.07.070 - 47.07.900 and administrative regulations in Alaska Administrative Code 7 AAC 43.

Data sources used by the Medicaid Rate Advisory Commission and the Department of Health and Social Services (the Department) are the following:

1. For facilities whose fiscal years begin January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001, the department will use the as filed reports as outlined in numbers 2-5 of this Subsection from the facility's fiscal year ending during calendar year 1999 and the department-generated MR-0-14 report which includes claims data processed no later than September 26, 2000.
2. When rebasing occurs, the Medicare Cost Report for the facility's fiscal year ending 24 months before the beginning of the year that is rebased.
3. Budgeted capital costs, submitted by the facility and reviewed and adjusted by the Department as appropriate in accordance with Section II, for the rate year on capital projects or acquisitions which are placed in service after the base year and for which an approved Certificate of Need has been obtained.
4. Year end reports which contain historical financial and statistical information submitted by facility's for past rate setting years.
5. Utilization and payment history report (commonly known as the MR-0-14) provided by the Division of Medical Assistance.

II Allowable Costs:

Allowable costs are documented costs that are ordinary and necessary in the delivery of a cost effective service. Allowable costs are those which directly relate to Title XIX

TN No. 01-004 Approved Date 7-2-01 Effective Date 01-01-01
Supersedes TN No. 99-10

program recipients. Costs would include those necessary to conform with the state and federal laws, regulations, and quality and safety standards.

Most of the elements of Medicaid allowable costs are defined in Medicare and reported, subject to audit, on the Medicare Cost Report. The following items are possible adjustments from financial statement classifications to Medicaid classifications, and may be reflected either within the Medicare Cost Report or elsewhere in the Medicaid cost finding process.

- * return on investment is not an allowable cost for any facility.
- * advertising cost is allowable only to the extent that the advertising is directly related to patient care. The reasonable cost of only the following types of advertising and marketing is allowable:
 - announcing the opening of or change of name of a facility.
 - recruiting for personnel.
 - advertising for the procurement or sale of items.
 - obtaining bids for construction or renovation.
 - advertising for a bond issue.
 - informational listing of the provider in a telephone directory.
 - listing a facility's hours of operation.
 - advertising specifically required as a part of a facility's accreditation process.
- * physician compensation costs and related charges associated with providing care to patients are not allowable for purposes of calculating a prospective payment rate.
- * medical services which a facility or unit of a facility is not licensed to provide are not included as an allowable cost.
- * costs not authorized by a certificate of need when a certificate of need is required are not included as an allowable cost.
- * Pharmaceutical supplies and materials paid under other programs are not included as an allowable cost.
- * management fees or home office costs which are not reasonably attributable to the management of the facility.

Allowable patient related costs include wages, salaries, and employee benefits, purchased services; supplies; utilities, depreciation, rentals, leases; taxes, excluding local, state and federal income taxes; and interest expense. A facility must reduce operating costs by the cost of all activities not directly related to health care. Other specific non-allowed costs are bad debts, charity, contractual adjustments and discounts taken by payers.

If a certificate of need is required on assets purchased after the base year, the amount of capital costs included in the rate calculation will be limited to the amounts described within the certificate of need application and other information the facility provided as a basis for approval of the certificate of need. In determining whether capital costs exceed those amounts approved under a certificate of need, the department will consider:

- (1) the terms of issuance describing the nature and extent of the activities authorized by the certificate; and
- (2) the facts and assertions presented by the facility within the application and certificate of need review record, including purchase or contract prices, the rate of interest identified or assumed for any borrowed capital, lease costs, donations, developmental costs, staffing and administration costs, and other information the facility provided as a basis for approval of the certificate of need.

III Inflation Adjustments:

Allowable base year costs are adjusted for inflation. For long-term facilities the inflation adjustment for allowable non-capital allowable costs for fiscal year 2000 is 2.7% and for fiscal year 2001, 2.6%. For the facilities' allowable capital and allowable home office capital costs the inflation adjustment for fiscal years 2000 and 2001 is 1.1%.

For fiscal years after 2001, the department will utilize the most recent quarterly publication of Standard and Poor's "DRI Health Care Cost Review" available 60 days before the beginning of a facility's fiscal year. For the inflation adjustment relating to allowable non-capital costs, the department will utilize the HCFA Nursing Home without Capital Market Basket. Allowable capital and allowable home office capital will be adjusted using the Skilled Nursing Facility Total Market Basket Capital Cost component.

IV Determination of Prospective Payment Rates:

For prospective payment periods beginning after December 31, 2000, the prospective payment rate for long-term care services rendered to Medicaid recipients is a per-day rate reflecting costs related to patient care and attributable to the Medicaid program.

Prospective payment rates will be determined under one of three methodologies – Basic, Optional, and New Facilities.

a. Basic Prospective Payment Rate Methodology

The prospective payment rate consist of four components – costs excluding capital for routine cost centers, capital costs for routine cost centers, capital costs for ancillary cost centers, and costs excluding capital for ancillary cost centers. The prospective payment rates will be annual rates based on the facility's fiscal year. The base year for rate years beginning in calendar year 2001 will be the facility's fiscal year ending during calendar year 1999. After this initial rate year and except for facilities electing to be reimbursed under the optional payment rate methodology detailed in Subsection IVb, re-basing will occur for all facilities no less than every four years.

The prospective per-day rates for long-term care facilities are computed as follows:

1. Total allowable routine base year costs excluding routine capital costs for routine costs are divided by the total long-term care days. This gives the non-capital routine component of the rate.
2. Total allowable routine base year costs excluding routine non-capital costs are divided by the total long-term care days. This gives the routine capital component of the rate.
3. For the first rate year starting after December 31, 2000, the ancillary capital component of the rate is determined by calculating the percentage of capital cost for each ancillary cost center, multiplying the percentage by the related total facility long-term care ancillary costs from the base year, by cost center, and totaling the calculated capital costs from all ancillary cost centers. This amount is then divided by the sum of the total facility long-term care patient days from the base year.
4. For the first rate year starting after December 31, 2000, non-capital ancillary costs are determined by subtracting capital ancillary costs from total facility ancillary costs. This amount is then divided by total facility long-term care days. This becomes the non-capital ancillary component of the rate.

5. After re-basing occurs, the ancillary capital component of the per-day payment rate is calculated by determining the percentage of capital cost for each ancillary cost center and multiplying the percentage by the related Medicaid long-term care ancillary costs from the base year. These amounts are totaled and divided by the sum of the Medicaid long-term care patient days from the base year
6. After re-basing occurs, the non-capital ancillary Medicaid component of the rate is determined by subtracting Medicaid capital ancillary costs from facility Medicaid ancillary costs. This amount is then divided by facility Medicaid long-term care days from the base year. This becomes the non-capital ancillary component of the rate.

Each base year component rate is then adjusted for inflation in accordance with Section III and summed to arrive at the facility's prospective payment rate.

The capital components of the prospective payment rate will be adjusted to reflect appropriate capital costs for the prospective year based on certificate of need documentation, assets retired in conjunction with the certificate of need, and Medicare cost reporting requirements.

For purposes of determining prospective payment rates, the Medicaid long-term care days are the covered days from payment history reports generated by the Division of Medical Assistance (Subsection I4).

Prospective payment rates for facilities that are calculated and paid on a per-day basis as discussed in this Section will be no greater than the per-day rates proposed in the certificate of need application and other information provided as a basis for approval of the certificate of need for the first year during which the following are available for use and for two years immediately following the first year:

- (1) opening of a new or modified health care facility;
- (2) alteration of bed capacity; or
- (3) the implementation date of a change in offered categories of health service or bed capacity.

If a facility is granted a certificate of need for additional beds, the additional capital payment add-on to the per-day rate will include the base year's long-term care days plus additional days associated with the additional beds. The additional

days are calculated as the base year's occupancy percentage multiplied by 80 percent and then multiplied by the additional beds approved in the certificate of need. The resulting figure is further multiplied by 365.

Costs are considered the lower of costs or charges in the aggregate to the general public.

b. Optional Prospective Payment Rate Methodology

A facility that had 4,000 or fewer total inpatient hospital days as a combined hospital-nursing facility or 15,000 or fewer Medicaid nursing days in its nursing facility during the facility's fiscal year that ended 24 months before the beginning of its prospective payment rate year beginning during calendar year 2001 may elect to be reimbursed for long-term care services under provisions of this Subsection. If a facility that meets this criteria does not elect to participate during its first fiscal year after December 31, 2000, the facility may not elect to participate under the provisions of this Subsection until after a re-basing occurs under the provisions of Subsection IVa.

If a facility that elected to be reimbursed under the prior Optional Payment Rate Methodology for Small Hospitals for its payment years beginning in calendar year 1998 until the last day of its fiscal year ending during the period of July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002, does not elect to participate after its agreement expires or does not terminate the agreement at that time, the facility may not elect to participate under the provisions of this Subsection until after a re-basing occurs under the provisions of Subsection IVa. Its prospective payment rate will be determined pursuant to Subsection IVa until a rebasing of all facilities has been executed.

A facility electing to be reimbursed under this Subsection must have an agreement with the department that will not expire, lapse, or be revoked before four facility fiscal years have lapsed. The agreement may be renewed after it expires if the facility still qualifies for reimbursement under this Subsection. A re-basing of the prospective payment rate for the renewed agreement will occur in accordance with Subsection IV.

Facilities reimbursed under the provisions of this Subsection are also subject to year-end review pursuant to Section VI.

For a facility that was not reimbursed under the Optional Payment Rate Methodology for Small Hospitals prior to its first fiscal year beginning December

31, 2000, that elects to be reimbursed under this Subsection rather than Subsection IVa, its prospective payment rate will be based on its 1999 established rate or the rate calculated under Subsection IVa at the election of the facility. If the facility elects its 1999 payment rate, its initial year prospective payment rate during calendar year 2001 will be determined as follows:

- (1) The prospective payment rate will be expressed as a per-day rate, composed of separate capital and non-capital components.
- (2) The capital component is calculated by using the facility's fiscal year 1999 capital component of their Medicaid reimbursement rate and adjusting it for inflation by 1.1 percent per year for each fiscal year after the first year of election and ends at the expiration of its agreement.
- (3) The non-capital component is calculated by subtracting the capital component of the facility's 1999 rate and subtracting it from the total allowable costs identified in the fiscal year 1999 established rate. The resulting amount is adjusted for inflation at three percent per year for each fiscal year after the first year of election and ends at the expiration of the agreement.
- (4) The prospective payment rate for long-term care facilities will be adjusted for the fourth year under rates calculated in this Subsection by comparing the number of Medicaid paid days during the fiscal year of the small facility from its fiscal year 1999 established rate to the average number of Medicaid days which were paid over the previous three years under this Subsection. For each whole one percent decline that exceeds 20 percent of the Medicaid paid days from fiscal year 1999, the facility will get an additional one percent increase in the amount determined in numbers 2 and 3 of this Subsection.

For a facility who was not reimbursed under the Optional Payment Rate Methodology for Small Hospitals prior to its first fiscal year prior to December 31, 2000, that elects to be reimbursed under this Subsection under the provisions of Subsection IVa, its prospective payment rate for the first year beginning in calendar year 2001 and each year thereafter until the facility's agreement expires will be determined pursuant to Subsection IVa except that the non-capital and capital components of the payment rate will be adjusted annually for inflation after the first year by 3 percent and 1.1 percent respectively.

Increases in the capital component of the prospective payment rate for new assets placed in service during the period covered by the agreement will be allowed based on the provisions in Subsection IVa if the following conditions are met:

- (1) the assets placed into service have a value of at least \$1,000,000;
- (2) the facility obtains one or more certificates of need for the assets placed into service; and
- (3) the facility provides a detailed budget before the increase in the prospective payment rate that reflects the allowance for the new assets.

The administrative appeals process provided under Subsection VIII is not available and the facility will use the "exceptional relief" process pursuant to Subsection X except in the case that the facility disputes an action or decision of the department that relates to the following:

- (1) the facility's eligibility to elect rate setting under this Subsection;
- (2) the violation of a term of the rate agreement between the facility and the department;
- (3) the denial of an increase in the prospective payment rate based on a determination on an increase in the capital component of the prospective payment rate for new assets and related approved Certificate of Need.

For the prospective rate year beginning in calendar year 2001, 95 percent of the facility's 1999 Medicaid non-cross-over allowed total dollar amount from the MR-0-14, as of 180 days after the end of the facility's 1999 fiscal year, will be compared the facility's 2001 allowed total dollar amount from the MR-0-14, as of 180 days after the end of the facility's 2001 fiscal year. If the adjusted 1999 amount exceeds the 2001 amount, the facility will receive a lump sum payment for the difference.

c. New Facility Prospective Payment Rate Methodology

If a new long-term care facility is licensed, the will be the sum of:

1. The swing-bed rate in effect at the start of the facility's rate year, less the average capital cost contained in the swing-bed rate.
2. Capital costs as identified by the facility subject to the limitations as described in Section II, using the greater of occupancy rates approved in the certificate of need or 80% of licensed beds.

Rates for a new facility will be established under Subsection IVa after two full fiscal years of cost data is reported.

V. Sale of Facilities:

For facilities acquired on or after October 1, 1985, the increase in the depreciable base is limited to one-half of the percentage increase since the date of the sellers acquisition, in the Dodge Construction Systems Costs Index for Nursing Homes, or, one-half of the percentage increase in the consumer price index for all urban consumers, whichever is less. All related operating costs including interest are limited to the allowable changes in asset base. No facilities were sold or acquired between 1982 and October 1, 1985 or subsequent to October 1, 1985.

In addition, the recapture of depreciation expense on disposition of assets that accommodate gains under the Medicaid program will be limited by the provisions of 42 CFR 447.253(d) of the Code. Payment for acquisition costs associated with buying and selling of the facility will be limited by the provisions of 42 CFR 447.253 (d) of the Code.

Example of Purchase Limitations

Historical Costs

Book Value	\$5,000,000
Accumulated Depreciation	<u>2,500,000</u>
Net Book Value	\$2,500,000
Annual Depreciation	\$ 200,000
Long Term Debt	\$1,000,000
Interest on Debt	\$ 100,000
Allowable Costs	<u>\$ 300,000</u>
Purchase Price	\$8,000,000
Depreciation	\$ 400,000
Long Term Debt	\$6,000,000
Interest on Debt	\$ 600,000
Operating Costs	<u>\$1,000,000</u>
Change in CPI (Since original acquisition)	25%
Dodge Index	35%

Allowable change 25% divided by 2 = 12.5%

New Depreciable Base	\$5,600,000
Accumulated Depreciation	<u>2,800,000</u>
Net Value	\$2,800,000
Depreciation	\$ 224,000
Allowable Interest Based on 40% debt prior to purchase on net value at historical 10% rate (\$2,800,000 X 40% X 10%)	<u>\$ 112,000</u>
Allowable Costs	\$ 336,000

Note: The example is simplified for presentation. Original investment was assumed to be made at one time. There are no loan costs or start up costs factored in the original purchase or subsequent purchase.

VI. Year End Review:

To determine whether the actual rates used for payment to an acute care hospital, specialty hospital, or psychiatric hospital are in conformance with the established rates for the facility, the department will conduct a year-end review for prospective payment years beginning after December 31, 2000. The department will compare the established rate for the period ended 24 months before the beginning of the prospective rate year to the actual rate paid by the division of medical assistance to the facility. If the actual payments are different than the established rate, the department will adjust the payments to reflect the established rates. The department may waive all or part of the year-end review adjustment calculated if the facility provides proof of manifest injustice resulting from the application of year-end review.

VII. Adjustment to Rates:

Rates for facilities are set by the Department with the advice of five Governor appointed Commissioners. The Commissioners represent the state of Alaska, the providers, a physician, a certified public accountant and a consumer. Facilities have the opportunity to provide additional information on significant changes that would impact the rates.

The Department, on its own or at the request of an applicant, in its discretion, will reconsider its actions within 30 days. There is nothing to preclude a facility from petitioning the department at any time during its fiscal year for additional consideration.

Reconsiderations are warranted only in those cases where the proper application of the methods and standards described in Attachment 4.19-D is in question or is being challenged.

VIII. Provider Appeals:

If a party feels aggrieved as a result of the department's rate setting decisions, the party may appeal and request reconsideration or an administrative hearing.

Administrative hearings are conducted by Governor appointed Hearing Officers. An administrative appeal must be filed within 30 days of the mailing of the decision of the Department.

The Hearing Officer would hear a case in accordance with administrative law in the state of Alaska. The Hearing Officer would prepare draft findings, conclusions and order for the Commissioner of the Department's review. The Commissioner of the Department would review the findings of the Hearing Officer and may accept, reject, or modify the Hearing Officer's recommendations. If the party still feels aggrieved at this point, judicial review is available to contest actions of the Department and the rate set.

IX. Audit Function:

The Department has statutory authority to audit data relating to Medicaid prospective payment rates. Audit findings that would affect prospective payment rates are adopted by the department and incorporated into future prospective rate calculations.

X. Exceptional Relief to Rate Setting:

If the rate setting methodology results in a permanent rate which does not allow reasonable access to quality patient care provided by an efficiently and economically managed facility, the facility may apply to the Deputy Commissioner of the Department for exceptional relief from the rate setting methodology.

This provision applies to situations where a facility is forced to close or dramatically reduce quality of care to its residents due to the inadequacy of its payment rate. To apply for exceptional relief, the facility's application should include:

1. the amount by which the facility estimates that the rate should be increased to allow reasonable access to quality patient care provided by an efficiently managed facility;
2. the reasons why and the need for exceptional relief requested, including any resolution by the facility's governing body to support the reasons offered, and why such a rate increase cannot be obtained through the existing rate setting process;
3. the description of management actions taken by the facility to respond to the situation on which the exceptional relief request is based;
4. the audited financial statement for the facility for the most recently completed facility fiscal year and financial data, including a statement of income and expenses and a statement of assets, liabilities, and equities and a monthly facility cash flow analysis for the fiscal year for which the exception is requested;

5. a detailed description of recent efforts by the facility to offset the deficiency by securing revenue sharing, charity or foundation contributions, or local community support;
6. an analysis of community needs for the service on which the exception request is based;
7. a detailed analysis of the options of the facility if the exception is denied;
8. a plan for future action to respond to the problem; and
9. any other information requested by the deputy commissioner to evaluate the request.

The Deputy Commissioner may request recommendations from the Commission on a facility's application for exceptional relief. The Deputy Commissioner may increase the rate, by all or part of the facility's request if the Deputy Commissioner finds by clear and convincing evidence that the rate established under Section IV. and Section VI. of Attachment 4.19-D does not allow for reasonable access to quality patient care provided by an efficiently and economically managed facility and that the granting of an exception is in the public interest. In determining whether the exception is in the public interest, the Deputy Commissioner may consider at least:

1. the necessity of the rate increase to allow reasonable access to quality patient care provided by an efficiently and economically managed facility, including any findings of the governing body of the facility to support the need;
2. the assessment of continued need for this facility's services in the community;
3. whether the facility has taken effective steps to respond to the crisis and has adopted effective management strategies to alleviate or avoid the future need for exceptional relief;
4. the recommendations, if any, from the Commission;
5. the availability of other resources available to the facility to respond to the crisis;
6. whether the relief should have been obtained under the existing rate methodology;
7. other factors relevant to assess reasonable access to quality patient care provided by an efficiently and economically managed facility.

The Deputy Commissioner may impose conditions on the receipt of exceptional relief including, but not limited to the following:

1. the facility sharing the cost of the rate exception granted;
2. the facility taking effective steps in the future to alleviate the need for future requests for exceptional relief;
3. the facility providing documentation as specified of the continued need for the exception; or
4. a maximum amount of exceptional relief to be granted to the facility under this section.

If the Deputy Commissioner finds by clear and convincing evidence that the rate established under the methodology does not allow for reasonable access to quality patient care provided by an efficiently and economically managed facility and that the granting of an exception is in the public interest, the Deputy Commissioner may, in his or her sole discretion increase the rate.

Amounts granted as exceptional relief shall not be included as part of the base on which future prospective rates are determined. Exceptional relief shall be effective prospectively from the date of the exceptional relief decision and for a period of time not to extend beyond the facility's rate setting year. A facility may apply for and be granted exceptional relief in the following year. A party aggrieved by a decision of the Deputy Commissioner concerning exceptional relief may request an administrative hearing to the Commissioner of the Department.

XI. Public Process

The State has in place a public process which complies with the requirements of Section 1902(a)(13)(A) of the Social Security Act.